Remarks

Reconsideration of the instant application is respectfully requested in light of the aboveamendments and following remarks.

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-7, 9-15, and 17-18 are now pending. Claims 1 and 12 have been amended to facilitate prosecution. Support for such amendments can be found, for example, in the specification on page 4. Claims 8 and 16 have been canceled without prejudice. No new matter has been added.

II. THE EXAMINER'S REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. 112 HAVE BEEN RENDERED MOOT OR OVERCOME

The Examiner rejected claims 8 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. More specifically, the Examiner asserted that such claims improperly contained the trademarks/trade names "CARBOPOL AQUA SF-1."

In reply, applicants respectfully submit that in light of the amendments to the claims, such rejections have been rendered moot.

III. THE CLAMED INVENTION IS PATENTABLE OVER SHANA'A

The Examiner rejected claims 1-18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,737,394¹ issued to Shana'a (hereinafter "Shana'a"). More specifically, the Examiner asserted that Shana'a discloses isotropic cleansing compositions comprising surfactants and a thickening agent, including hydrophobically modified, crosslinked, polyacrylates. In particular, the Examiner noted that Table 2, Example IV of Shana'a listed a "composition comprising 9% by weight of a blend of ammonium laureth sulfate/ammonium lauryl sulfate/cocamide MEA/PEG-5 cocamide, 0.8% by weight of cocamidopropyl betaine,

¹ Applicants respectfully do not necessarily agree that this reference is properly cited as prior art and hereby reserve the right to swear behind such reference.

0.5% weight of glycerin, 1.5% by weight of CARBOPOL AQUA SF-1... per the requirements of the instant claims." The

Examiner noted that the term "substantially free of nonionic surfactants" was interpreted to mean less than about 1% by weight. In light of the above, the Examiner rejected the claims as being anticipated by Shana'a.

In reply, applicants respectfully submit that Shana'a fails to teach or suggest the claimed invention as amended. In particular, as discussed in more detail below, Shana'a fails to teach or suggest any composition comprising the required amounts of anionic surfactant, and hydrophobically modified acrylic copolymer, wherein the composition contains less than about 0.5 percent of nonionic surfactants. In addition, Shana'a further fails to teach or suggest a composition comprising such components that is "mild to the skin" as required by the claims. Therefore the Examiner's rejection should be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

Shana'a is directed to liquid cleansing compositions comprising one or more surfactants and a thickener, which may include a polyacrylic including CARBOPOL AQUA SF-1. However, Shana'a fails to teach any composition that comprises the specific combination of: from about 1 percent to about 8 percent of an anionic surfactant; and from about 0.1 percent to about 3 percent of a hydrophobically modified, crosslinked, acrylic copolymer; wherein the composition comprises less than about 0.5 percent of nonionic surfactants, as now required in the claimed invention. In particular, applicants note the Example IV in Table 2 of Shana'a comprises greater than 1.1% of nonionic surfactants (cocamide MEA and PEG-5 cocamide) - well above the "less than about 0.5% nonionics" as now required. Shana'a thus fails to teach each and every limitation of the instant claims and does not anticipate such claims. Therefore, the Examiner's rejection should be withdrawn.

Moreover, Shana'a further fails to teach or suggest any composition of the claimed combination that exhibits the unexpected properties of being mild to the skin as required by the instant claims. Only applicants have recognized the surprisingly low irritation properties associated with the claimed compositions as compared to other comparable anionic surfactant-containing compositions. Those of skill in the art would thus not have recognized the possibility of achieving nor been motivated to achieve the claimed compositions with the claimed mildness

in light of Shana'a. Accordingly, the claimed invention defines subject matter that is patentable over Shana'a. Therefore, the pending claims should be allowed.

IV. THE PROVISIONAL DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTIONS ARE RENDERED MOOT

The Examiner <u>provisionally</u> rejected claims 1-20 under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting in view of: claims 1-14 of copending Application No. 10/650,398; claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10/650,573; and claims 1-17 of copending Application No. 10/650,495.

While applicants do not necessarily agree with the Examiner's rejections in any regard, nevertheless, because such <u>provisional</u> rejections are the only rejections remaining in view of the amendments and remarks herein, applicants submit such rejections should be withdrawn pursuant to MPEP 804(I)(B), and the instant case allowed. Should any of the above applications issue into a patent prior to allowance of the instant application, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned to allow applicants to consider filing a Terminal Disclaimer, or otherwise overcome any resulting non-provisional double patenting rejection.

V. CONCLUSION

In light of the above amendments and remarks, applicants respectfully submit the application is in condition for allowance and requests an early notice of allowance for this application. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding this submission, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

hud she

Brett Freeman

Reg. No. 46, 709

Attorney for Applicant (s)

Johnson & Johnson One Johnson & Johnson Plaza New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003 (732) 524-3428

DATE: December 17, 2004